

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,839		12/30/2003	Drayton Miller	746-A03-008	2802
27317	7590	04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
		BONS GUTMAI HIGHWAY	CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN		
SUITE 115		IIIOIIWAI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIAMI, F	L 33180			1772	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/748,839	MILLER, DRAYTON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alicia Chevalier	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	nuary 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
		yaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a).	-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive	d in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/748,839 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-6 are pending in the application.

2. Amendments to the claims, filed on January 24, 2006, have been entered in the above-identified application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terms "57# kraft liner," and "33# flute" in claim 6 is unclear which renders the clime vague and indefinite. It is unclear what the numbers refer too, i.e. a trademark or specific composition.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,839

Art Unit: 1772

6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clough et al.

Page 3

(U.S. Patent No. 6,050,412) in view of Kupersmit (U.S. Patent No. 4,663,207).

Clough discloses a container made from a metallized polyester film, a kraft liner, a flute layer and another kraft liner (figure 2).

The limitation "for shipping temperature sensitive or perishable goods" is deemed to be a statement with regard to the intended use and is not further limiting in so far as the structure of the product is concerned. In article claims, a claimed intended use must result in a *structural* difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. MPEP § 2111.02. Furthermore, Clough discloses that container if for shipping flowers (title), which flowers are deemed to be a perishable good.

Clough fails to disclose a double walled structure.

Kupersmit discloses a corrugated shipping container (col. 1, lines 34-58) with triple wall reinforcement to increase compressive strength threats (col. 1, line 14-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use triple wall reinforcement as taught by Kupersmit in Clogh in order to improve strength compressive stresses.

## ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

31. Applicant's arguments in the response filed January 24, 2006 regarding the 35U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejection of record have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

Art Unit: 1772

Applicant argues that the term "#57" and similar terms refer to the paper weight per unit area and is a term always used in the art to express the thickness of the paper.

These terms "#57" etc. are akin to trademarks. The examiner is requiring Applicant to submit an affidavit with the definition of these terms at the time of the invention, unless Applicant can provide evidence that these terms have never changed meaning since the creation of paper. Upon having sufficient support for these definitions the examiner is requiring that they be incorporated into the specification and used in the claims instead of the reference numbers. No new matter should be added.

31. Applicant's arguments in the response filed January 24, 2006 regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of record have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

In response to Applicant's piecemeal analysis of the reference, it has been held that one cannot show non-obviousness by attacking the references individually where, as here, the rejections are based on combinations of references.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/748,839 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ac 4/3/06

ALICIA CHEVALIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

in Chuler